Economic Operability Assessment

Analysis Report – Pacific TSA

Prepared by: Forest Ecosystem Solutions Ltd 227 – 998 Harbourside Drive North Vancouver, BC V7P 3T2 604-998-2222 amakitalo@forestecosystem.ca



Prepared for:

BC Timber Sales Strait of Georgia, Seaward-Tlasta, and Skeena Business Areas



Ministry of BRITISH COLUMBIA Natural Resource Operations

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Description of the Land Base	4
3	Data Sources	6
4	Methodology	7
4.1	Summary	7
4.2	Accessible Land Base (Coarse Filter)	8
	4.2.1 Road Buffers	
	4.2.2 Slope Classification and Harvest Method	9
4.3	Fine Filter Analysis	
	4.3.1 Maximum Working Land Base	
	4.3.2 Costs	
	4.3.3 Values	
5	Results	23
5.1	Scenarios	
5.2	Review of Results by Operational Staff	
5.3	Synopsis and Application in TSR	
	5.3.1 Synopsis	
	5.3.2 Application in TSR	
Refe	rences	33

List of Figures

Figure 1: Pacific TSA Blocks	4
Figure 2: Grade Distribution by Species, TSG Business Area	15
Figure 3: Grade Distribution by Species, TST Business Area	15
Figure 4: Grade Distribution by Species, TSK Business Area	16
Figure 5: Volume weighted inflation adjusted average price for HemBal, H-grade, 2005 – 2014	19
Figure 6: Economically Operable Area by Leading Species, \$0 Export Premium	24
Figure 7: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, \$30 export premium	26
Figure 8: Economically operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$0 export premium	28
Figure 9: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$30 export premium	29

List of Tables

Table 1: Spatial Data Sources	6
Table 2: Road buffers in different Pacific TSA Blocks	8
Table 3: Harvest Method Area Summary	9
Table 4: Maximum Working Land Base Netdown	10
Table 5: Maximum Working Land Base by Block	10
Table 6: Example of hauling cost calculation	12
Table 7: Average grade distributions by business area, TSG and TST	13
Table 8: Average grade distributions by business area, TSK	14
Table 9: Old growth log prices by species and grade (2005 – 2014)	16
Table 10: Estimated export percent 2008 - 2014	19
Table 11: Woodsheds in the Pacific TSA	20
Table 12: Economically Operable MWLB area, \$0 export premium	23
Table 13: Economically Operable MWLB Area by Leading Species, \$0 export premium	24

Table 14: Economically Operable MWLB area - \$30 export premium	25
Table 15: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, \$30 export premium	25
Table 16: Economically Operable MWLB area after field team review, \$0 export premium	
Table 17: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$0 export premium	
Table 18: Economically Operable MWLB area, after field team review, \$30 export premium	
Table 19: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$30 export premium	
Table 20: Simplified area netdown, Pacific TSA	30
Table 21: Economically Operable Land Base for TSR	31
Table 22: Economically Operable Land Base by leading species	32

1 Introduction

An economic operability assessment was completed as part of the Pacific TSA timber supply review (TSR). The economically operable area forms one of the netdown items used to classify the timber harvesting land base (THLB) for the TSR. Areas that are classified as un-economic for harvest operations will be removed from the THLB.

The economic operability analysis is a strategic, landscape level analysis of the economically operable land base. It is not a detailed operational or tactical level analysis. The objective of the analysis is to determine the land base where - on average - operations are expected to be economic in average market conditions; it is likely that some individual stands may be incorrectly classified.

Care is needed to separate economic constraints from harvest scheduling constraints. Stands classified as un-economic are expected to remain as such given the assumptions and the data used in the analysis. Changes in market conditions and cost structure of operations will lead to a different economically operable land base and require an updated analysis.

Some harvest scheduling constraints are economic in nature. There are previously harvested areas with isolated remaining older age classes within the Pacific TSA that are clearly part of the economically operable land base. However, operations in these areas are not profitable until the immature stands reach maturity and can be harvested together with the older age classes.

The Pacific TSA economic operability analysis consisted of two main phases. The first phase used a coarse filter approach focusing on physical access. The second phase – a fine filter approach - assigned values and costs to each stand with the objective to identify stands with a positive net worth. The fine filter approach included gaming with blending; highly profitable stands were blended together with stands that were initially classified as un-economic to bring operational reality to the analysis. Sensitivity analyses were included testing the impact of changes in log prices on the economically operable land base.

2 Description of the Land Base

The Pacific Timber Supply Area (TSA) was established in July 2009 from an amalgamation of various tree farm license (TFL) areas taken back by the Province through the Forestry Revitalization Act (Bill 28, 2003). The Pacific TSA covers approximately 698,041 ha. It consists of 30 Blocks located on Vancouver Island, the Sunshine Coast, the Mainland Coast, and Douglas Channel (Figure 1). The Blocks range in size from 76 ha to 405,000 ha.

BC Timber Sales (BCTS) is the major operator in the Pacific TSA, holding approximately 93% of the AAC, with First Nations Tenures making up the remaining cut. At the time the TSR was initiated, the TSA was spread over three BCTS Business Areas (BA): Strait of Georgia (TSG), Seaward-Tlasta (TST), and Skeena (TSK). Since the analysis data set was prepared, the BCTS has initiated a transition of TSA Blocks in the Sunshine Coast (Blocks 21, 22, and 23) from the TSG BA to the Chinook BA (TCH). This transition will be complete by March 31, 2016. For the purposes of this analysis, all documentation associated with these Blocks will remain with a reference to TSG.



Figure 1: Pacific TSA Blocks

Due to the extent of the TSA, its terrain is variable including low elevation islands, outlying coastal mainland areas, inland regions of high mountains and productive valleys. The forests of the Pacific TSA are dominated by three main biogeoclimatic zones including Coastal Western Hemlock (CWH), Mountain Hemlock (MH) and alpine (CMA).

The southernmost portion of the Pacific TSA is more densely populated with a long harvest history, while the mid coast and north coast parts of the TSA are remote and sparsely populated.

3 Data Sources

This project was completed simultaneously with the Pacific TSA TSR and used the same data sources. The following data and data sources were used for the economic operability project:

Layer Name	Description	Source	Vintage		
pacific_tsa	Pacific TSA boundary	BCGW	2014		
ogma	Legal, non-legal, and draft Old growth	BCGW and BCTS	2014		
	management areas				
f_own	Generalized ownership	BCGW	2014		
wdlt_cf	Managed forests – woodlots, community	BCGW	2014		
	forests, and First Nations Woodland				
	Licenses				
clab_ir	Federal Indian Reserves	BCGW	2014		
parks	Provincial parks and protected areas	BCGW	2014		
conserve	Conservancies	BCGW	2014		
survey_pa	Survey parcels	BCGW	2014		
clayoquot_reserves	Clayoquot sound no harvest areas	GEOBC	2011		
terrain	Terrain stability and ESA mapping	BCTS	various		
vqo	Visual quality objectives	BCGW and BCTS	2014		
UWR	Ungulate winter ranges	BCGW	2014		
WHA_legal	Wildlife habitat areas	BCGW	2014		
ebm_grizzly	grizzly habitat	EBM	2013		
water_polys	Lakes, Rivers and Wetlands	FESL (TRIM, VRI, BCTS)	2014		
aoa_final	Archeological potential	FLNRO	2014		
recreation	recreation areas (trails, huts, etc)	BCTS and BCGW	2014		
arch_sites	Archeological and historic sites	BCTS (FNLR)	2014		
vri_final	VRI plus depletions	FESL (BCGW,	2014		
rde ter	Roada	BCTS and EESI	2014		
us_lsi	Woodshods are spatially defined areas	EESI bacad on	2014		
woousneus	within the TSA that are tributary to the	PESE, based on	2015		
	same appraised point of origin and are	DCTSTICLES			
	located within the same supply block				
25m DEM	Digital elevation model at 25m resolution	FESL derived from	2014		
		TRIM	2014		
roads	Existing, deactivated, and future roads	BCTS	2014		
recent_harvest	Depletions from 2014 and 2015	BCTS	2015		

Table 1: Spatial Data Sources

4 Methodology

4.1 Summary

The following steps describe the overall methodology:

- 1. Accessible land base was defined using the existing and planned road network.
 - a. Areas within a 200 to 300 m distance from a road were classified as conventional harvest areas;
 - b. Areas outside of the conventional harvest areas were classified helicopter harvest areas, if they were located within 2,000 m from the coast or a road;
 - c. Areas more than 2,000 m from a road or the coast were considered inaccessible.
 - d. Results were reviewed by operational staff. Changes were made where appropriate.
- 2. Conventional areas were further split into cable and ground:
 - a. If the slope was less than or equal to 40% and the distance to the nearest road was 20 m or less the harvest method was classified as ground;
 - b. If the slope was greater than 40% or the distance to the nearest road was greater than 20 m, the harvest method was classified as cable;
 - c. The general methodology was reviewed by operational staff.
- 3. The maximum working land base was defined.
 - a. Non-forest, private lands, inaccessible areas, parks, etc were excluded from the landbase.
- 4. Cost to harvest each stand was estimated:
 - a. Average operating costs were standardized to per cubic meter based on information provided by Price Huber & Associates Inc. for each Pacific TSA Block. Some costs were adjusted in TSK based on local knowledge.
 - b. Road building costs (per km) by slope and major structure costs were provided by BCTS for each Pacific TSA Block;
 - c. Road building and major structure costs were shared between the unharvested conventional stands accessed by that road.
- 5. Stand value was calculated for each stand in the maximum working land base. Stand value consists of:
 - a. Volume by species in the current vegetation resource inventory (VRI);
 - b. Grade by species; historic grade distributions were used to estimate the current grade distributions;
 - c. Selling price by grade; average Vancouver Log Market (VLM) prices for the last 10 years were used to estimate the selling price.
- 6. Profit before road building costs was calculated for each stand. Profit consists of the difference between the estimated stand value and the estimated cost to harvest the stand. Stands with a positive profit are considered economic to harvest. All previously harvested stands were

considered economic with the exception of previously harvested unroaded areas; these were assessed using helicopter harvesting related criteria.

- 7. Each road was assessed as to whether the total positive profit from the conventionally harvestable stands accessed by it covers the road and major structure building costs.
- 8. The economic operable land base can be expanded by harvesting profitable stands along with marginally unprofitable stands. This was simulated in the project by blending profitable stands with unprofitable stands after road costs were covered. Blending of stands can only occur within a woodshed. Woodsheds are spatially defined areas within the TSA that are tributary to the same appraised point of origin and are located within the same timber supply block.
- 9. Results were reviewed by operational staff. Changes were made where appropriate.

4.2 Accessible Land Base (Coarse Filter)

The main input for defining the accessible land base was the road network. A road dataset consisting of all existing, deactivated, and proposed roads was provided by BCTS. Permanently deactivated roads were not included in the project. Deactivated roads that could be reactivated in the future were included in the analysis.

A slope dataset for the study area was derived from the TRIM DEM at 25 m resolution. Slope was used to classify conventional harvest areas into cable harvest areas and ground based harvest areas.

4.2.1 Road Buffers

All roads were buffered by 300 m or 200 m to delineate helicopter and conventional harvest areas. The 200 m buffer was used in areas with steeper slopes. The buffer widths for different Pacific TSA Blocks are shown in Table 2.

BCTS Business Area	Blocks with 200 m Road Buffer	Blocks with 300 m Road Buffer				
TSG	9, 18, 21, 22, 23, 27	3, 4, 5, 6, 20, 30				
TST	8, 19, 25, 26	1, 2, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 24				
TSK	28, 29					

Table 2: Road buffers in different Pacific TSA Blocks

Roads and coastlines were also buffered by 2,000 m to define the helicopter harvest areas. These buffers were classified as follows:

- 1. Areas within 300 m of a road (or 200 m) are conventional harvest areas;
- 2. Areas between 300 m (200 m) and 2,000 m from a road are helicopter to land harvest areas;
- 3. Areas within 2,000 m of the coast are helicopter to water areas;
- 4. All areas more than 2,000 m from a road or the coast were considered inaccessible.

These areas were mapped and forwarded to BCTS for review. Changes in the classification were made based on local knowledge.

4.2.2 Slope Classification and Harvest Method

Once the review and required changes were complete, the conventional harvest areas (as defined above) were further split into cable or ground harvest, based on slope, as follows:

- ➢ If the slope was less than or equal to 40% and any part of the polygon was 20 m or less from the nearest road, the harvest method was classified as ground;
- If the slope was greater than 40% or the entire polygon was further than 20 m from the nearest road, the harvest method was classified as cable;

This classification was intended to ensure that gentle slopes with no direct access to a road were classified as cable.

The ground versus cable harvest areas were mapped and forwarded to BCTS for review. The results of removing inaccessible area (coarse filter) are presented in Table 3. In the TSR netdown, inaccessible areas are removed from the THLB.

Block	Cable	Ground	to land	Helicopter to water	Inaccessible	Total
1	449	1,559		328		2,336
2	52	950		15		1,017
3	1,129	859	306			2,294
4	0	70	5			76
5	14	184	0			198
6	3,406	5,421	1,403		2	10,233
7	2,948	7,831	621			11,400
8	2,919	3,296	11,622		514	18,351
9	3,200	1,971	7,148	3,198	1,107	16,623
10	74	724				798
11	459	2,699		300		3,459
12	192	2,659	20	214		3,085
13	50	584		11		645
14	151	862		115		1,128
15	55	201		3		259
16	155	327		38		521
17	279	704		131		1,114
18	14,430	17,899	26,590		225	59,145
19	103	48	990		209	1,350
20	54	694	86			834
21	4,900	6,122	4,714	4,676	192	20,604
22	161	1,420	119			1,700
23	977	645	2,074		23	3,719
24	15	998	2			1,015
25	3,979	4,598	18,772	6,496	3,720	37,565
26	520	1,510	1,051	2,396		5,476
27	17,402	16,541	27,831	350	2,169	64,293
28	10,641	13,849	102,551	47,892	230,346	405,279
29	1,130	1,526	10,139	3,032	5,626	21,454
30	385	1,670	14			2,070
Total	70,230	98,426	216,060	69,193	244,132	698,041

Table 3: Harvest Method Area Summary

4.3 Fine Filter Analysis

The fine filter analysis introduces a fine filter to assign the total harvest costs and values to each stand. Both costs and values were applied in dollar amounts to facilitate direct comparisons and sensitivity analysis.

4.3.1 Maximum Working Land Base

Maximum working land base (MWLB) was used as the reference land base for the fine filter analysis. This land base represents a preliminary netdown, where only permanent, legally established and explicit 100% land base netdowns are applied. The following areas were removed from the TSA land base:

Description	Net Area (ha)	Gross Area (ha)
Total Pacific TSA	698,041	
Inaccessible Areas (from coarse filter)	244,132	244,132
Non-Crown	5,424	5,551
Non-Forest	82,602	264,157
Non-Commercial Brush	9,967	31,199
Parks and Protected Areas	7,264	11,050
Ungulate Winter Range	15,308	24,085
Wildlife Habitat Areas (legal)	1,217	1,582
EBM Grizzly Habitat Class 1	585	725
Clayoquot Reserves	3,075	5,526
Old Growth Management Areas (legal)	18,572	26,518
VQO Preservation Areas	25,878	728
Terrain Stability Class 5	324	40,903
Archeological Sites	743	840
Recreation Areas (legal)	632	2,892
Maximum Working Land Base	282,318	

Table 4: Maximum Working Land Base Netdown

The maximum working land base is a much larger area than the eventual THLB. Using a larger area for the fine filter analysis allows for future changes in the THLB netdown without the need to re-assess economic operability. Table 5 shows the area of the MWLB by Block.

Block	MWLB (ha)	Block	MWLB (ha)
1	2,245	16	474
2	979	17	1,081
3	1,824	18	39,725
4	63	19	927
5	192	20	506
6	7,354	21	15,377
7	9,393	22	1,588
8	10,197	23	2,250
9	10,170	24	989

Table 5: Maximum Working Land Base by Block

Block	MWLB (ha)	Block	MWLB (ha)
10	762	25	15,916
11	3,288	26	4,719
12	3,039	27	46,461
13	611	28	88,427
14	1,060	29	10,489
15	256	30	1,956
Total			282,318

4.3.2 Previous Harvest

All conventional stands that were previously harvested or established since 1965 were considered economic by default. In helicopter accessible areas, stands under 50 years old with at least 30% Douglas-fir, cedar or cypress were considered economic.

4.3.3 Costs

Harvest costs include all harvesting, transport and overhead costs, except stumpage costs. Cost information is based on the "Licencee Benchmark Logging Cost Project Report" by Price Huber & Associates Inc. completed in July 2010 and updated in March 2015.

The Licensee Benchmark Logging Cost Project Report was originally designed to assist BCTS staff to prepare logging cost estimates for timber sales. The information was acquired through surveys and interviews from a number of sources, which are confidential. The costs are based on generalized assumptions and they are provided for various operating areas within the Pacific TSA.

In TSK, the initial costs in the report were modified based on local knowledge. Costs for hauling, booming, towing, barging, engineering, and silviculture were adjusted.

Average harvesting and transport costs were assigned to each stand based on its Pacific TSA Block (location). The yarding costs were broken down based on the harvest method:

- Ground-based harvesting
- Cable harvesting
- Helicopter harvesting

Stands that require future road construction to provide access had road building costs assigned to them as per section 4.3.2.1.

The following costs were included (not all costs apply to every Block):

- ➢ Falling
- Chucking
- > Yarding
- Helicopter drop
- Mechanical processing
- ➤ Loading
- ➤ Hauling
- Road maintenance
- ➢ Booming
- > Towing
- ➤ Barging

- > Mobilization
- > Overhead
- Log brokerage
- ➢ Waste assessments
- ➢ Crew boat
- Crew services
- Camp costs
- Engineering
- Silviculture

All the costs listed above were applied on a per cubic meter basis.

Hauling costs were calculated based on an average distance from the Block to the nearest log dump or highway accessed mill and consisted of the following factors shown below with an example in Table 6.

Factor	Value
Round trip distance on paved road:	8 km
Round trip distance on gravel road:	38 km
Time on paved road:	0.1 hr
Time on gravel road:	1.52 hr
Time to load/unload:	1 hr
Total time per load:	2.62 hr
Number of loads/day:	4
m ³ per load:	46
m ³ /day:	184
cost/day:	\$1,200
Cost/ m ³ :	\$6.52

Table 6: Example of hauling cost calculation

The cost of $6.52/m^3$ would then be applied to all stands within the Block as the hauling cost.

4.3.3.1 Road Construction

Road building costs were assessed separately from other costs. All proposed, deactivated, and semideactivated roads were split by Block and slope, and the total cost to build or reactivate each road was calculated. Road building costs attributable to sections of roads outside the Pacific TSA were deducted from the total costs for each road.

The total cost for each road was shared between all MWLB conventional areas that are accessed by that road. All conventional harvest polygons in the MWLB were linked to the closest road. The road building costs were shared by the stands accessed by that road.

Reactivation of deactivated and semi-deactivated roads in previously harvested areas was assumed to be economic in the TSG and TST business areas. This was based on the principle that previously harvested stands were considered economically operable in the future.

However, in the TSK business area many semi-deactivated roads were not originally built for timber harvesting. Rather, other industries required them for their operations. In TSK, only those semi-deactivated roads that access areas where more than 50% of the area is previously logged were assumed to be economic.

4.3.3.2 Structure Costs

Costs (by Block) to build bridges, install culverts, and reactivate or build new log dumps were received from BCTS. Point locations for all these features were also provided. The costs for larger bridges (over 10 m in length) were added to the road construction costs and shared by the stands accessed by the road. The costs of culverts, log dumps and bridges less than 10 m in length were ignored.

4.3.4 Values

Each stand in the Pacific TSA MWLB was assigned a value (\$/m³) based on its species distribution, estimated grade distribution for each species, and historical average Vancouver log market values by species and grade. The data was provided by the Timber Pricing Branch of the Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations (FNRO). Due to the lack of grade distribution data for second growth stands, only old growth grade distributions and prices were used in the analysis.

Values were calculated as:

Value (\$) = Species Volumes (m^3/ha) x Area (ha) x Grade Distribution by Spp x Log Price by Spp and Grade Distribution (\$/ m^3)

Stand species volumes were based on adjusted (where available) VRI volumes by species. Since previously logged roaded stands are assumed to be economic, only stands older than 50 years of age were assessed.

4.3.4.1 Grade Distributions

Grade distributions from 1995 to 2014 scale data for the Pacific TSA were acquired from the Timber Pricing Branch of FLNRO. Due to the changing makeup of the harvest over this time period, only grade data from 2005 onwards was used to represent the harvesting in the TSA. Scale data was linked to each TSA Block by its opening id.

The average harvested grade distributions were summarized for each species and TSA Block. In cases where there was limited past harvesting for a species in a Block, the species and Block were grouped with neighbouring Blocks with similar ecologies. At the end, 98 grade distributions were used for species in the 30 TSA Blocks. Table 7 presents the average grade distributions for the TSG and TST business areas. Table 8 shows the same for the TSK business area. Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure 4 show the grade distribution by species for each business area.

Business	Species							Coasta	al Grad	e Dist	ributio	ns					
Area	Species	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	I	J	К	L	М	U	w	х	Y
TSG	Cw	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	29%	12%	21%	4%	6%	5%	10%	0%	5%	3%
	Су	0%	0%	3%	0%	4%	0%	28%	10%	15%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	11%	18%
	Dr	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	82%	0%	18%

Table 7: Average grade distributions by business area, TSG and TST

Business	0						(Coasta	I Grad	e Dist	ributio	ns					
Area	Species	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	I	J	к	L	М	U	w	Х	Y
	Fd	1%	5%	2%	0%	2%	0%	18%	16%	41%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	3%	3%
	HwBa	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	22%	11%	28%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	11%	9%
	Pine	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	21%	16%	21%	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	9%	9%
	Ss	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	18%	20%	39%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	4%	3%
	Cw	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	27%	10%	17%	6%	10%	7%	11%	0%	4%	5%
	Су	0%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	32%	11%	24%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	6%	10%
	Dr	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%
TST	Fd	1%	11%	3%	0%	3%	0%	24%	13%	27%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	3%	3%
	HwBa	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	21%	10%	40%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	7%	5%
	Pine	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	61%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	8%	13%
	Ss	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	32%	24%	17%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	4%	7%

Table 8: Average grade distributions by business area, TSK

Business	Spacias	Interior Grade Distributions								
Area	Species	1	2	4	6	z				
	Cw	32%	47%	21%	0%	0%				
	Су	33%	51%	16%	0%	0%				
TSK	Fd	14%	82%	4%	0%	0%				
TOR	HwBa	32%	40%	28%	0%	0%				
	Pine	0%	39%	61%	0%	0%				
	Ss	34%	52%	15%	0%	0%				



Figure 2: Grade Distribution by Species, TSG Business Area



Figure 3: Grade Distribution by Species, TST Business Area



Figure 4: Grade Distribution by Species, TSK Business Area

4.3.4.2 Log Values

FLNRO coastal domestic monthly log prices by species and grade from 2005 to 2014 where used to generate the average log prices used in the analysis. Prices were adjusted for inflation using Statistics Canada Consumer Price Index values, and averaged for the period of 2005 to 2014 (Table 9). The averages were volume weighted. This minimizes the impact associated with small or zero volumes in some months.

Prices for Skeena interior grades (numbers) were generated by weighted averages of coastal grade prices. Sawlog grades (grades 1 and 2) were assumed to equate to coastal sawlog grades B to M, and grade 4 was assumed to be composed of coastal U, X and Y grades. The weighting between the coastal prices was based on the relative amounts of these coastal grades in the mid-coast Blocks (Blocks 25 and 26).

Species	Business Area	Grade	Average Price \$/m ³	Standard Deviation \$/m ³	
		D	147.76	23.85	
		F	109.94	11.04	
HwPa		Н	72.12	7.52	
	TSC TST	I	54.82	6.01	
пура	156, 151	J	52.85	5.77	
			U	43.52	4.40
		Х	42.23	4.88	
		Y	40.56	5.05	
		D	300.92	51.72	
Cw	TSC TST	F	262.53	49.06	
	156, 151	Н	184.05	30.83	
		I	129.43	28.88	

 Table 9: Old growth log prices by species and grade (2005 – 2014)

Species	Business Area	Grade	Average Price \$/m ³	Standard Deviation \$/m ³
		J	144.00	30.97
		К	176.65	31.53
		L	143.50	27.64
		М	99.67	22.08
		U	68.71	26.04
		Х	50.67	18.95
		Y	9.14	3.54
		D	477.54	138.62
		F	286.47	59.09
		Н	148.63	23.25
		I	96.39	16.68
Су	TSG, TST	J	80.27	14.42
		U	51.34	9.55
		х	47.40	17.02
		Y	16.43	5.21
		В	214.94	41.59
		С	153.33	25.87
		D	402.70	68.52
Fd		F	275.46	38.70
		H	142.78	19.96
	TSG, TST	1	96.84	20.77
			80.81	16.23
		U U	52.18	7 71
		×	38.39	5 20
		Y	33.23	6.71
		D	419.93	105.12
		F	346.95	84 55
		F	303.94	80.72
		G	237 52	54.20
		H	126.64	39.09
Ss	TSG, TST		76.09	17.00
			69.72	10.19
		U U	47.03	5.89
		x	47.03	8.45
		Y	41 55	8.90
		×	69.56	13 12
Dr	TSG, TST	Y	41.88	19.12
		, D	0.00	0.00
		F	154.28	94.27
		н Н	116 22	68 29
		1	75 28	
Pine	TSG, TST	1	5.30	37 60
			57.75	52.03 21 / 2
rine		x	// JS.08	12 56
		v	40.14 //5 11	10.00
Cw	тѕк	1	158.68	30.30

Species	Business Area	Grade	Average Price \$/m ³	Standard Deviation \$/m ³
		2	158.68	30.39
		4	47.53	17.97
		1	108.52	18.86
Су	ТЅК	2	108.52	18.86
		4	37.24	9.92
		1	133.31	22.64
Fd	тѕк	2	133.31	22.64
		4	46.24	7.10
		1	63.82	7.03
HwBa	тѕк	2	63.82	7.03
		4	42.01	4.79
		1	131.13	34.72
Ss	TSK	2	131.13	34.72
		4	43.94	7.62

4.3.4.3 Log Exports

The log export market is an important market for logs produced from many of the Pacific TSA Blocks. All logs – with the exception of cedar and cypress – produced within the Pacific TSA are exportable under the Forest Act and current policies, provided that they fall under a blanket exemption, or that an exemption permit is issued under the surplus criteria.

Certain areas of the Province are covered by blanket export exemptions issued under Order-In-Council (OIC). Blocks 25 and 26 of the Pacific TSA fall under the Mid Coast Blanket OIC. Under this OIC, 35% of the volume harvested under any tenure in any single year may be exported (other than Cedar and Cypress). Volumes harvested above this percentage may be exported under the surplus criteria.

Blocks 28 and 29 of the Pacific TSA fall under the Northwest Interior Blanket OIC. Under this OIC 20% of the volume harvested (other than cedar and cypress) may be exported. Volumes harvested above this percentage may be exported under the surplus criteria.

Billed volume data from 2008-2014 was compared to 2008-2014 BCTS export data for each business area, tree species and grade. The percent of the billed volume for each tree species and grade that was exported was calculated for each business area (Table 10).

The log prices were increased by an export premium multiplied by the percent of the exported grade to create a blended average price between domestic and exported logs. This analysis tested the impact of \$30/m³ price premium on the economically operable land base.

Business	Species		Export percent for Grade													
Area	species	1	2	4	6	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	υ	w	х	Y
	Fd									39%	39%	29%	3%		0%	1%
TSG	HwBa					16%		19%		50%	31%	43%	4%		0%	0%
	Ss									39%	85%	53%	4%			
TOK	HwBa	52%	40%	4%	0%											
121	Ss	75%	45%	17%	0%											
	Fd									47%	44%	14%	2%		0%	
TST	HwBa					20%		25%		42%	25%	38%	4%		0%	0%
	Ss					5%	13%	10%	17%	65%	66%	40%	7%		1%	0%

Table 10: Estimated export percent 2008 - 2014

4.3.4.4 High markets and low markets

Two market price sensitivity analyses were completed: the low price sensitivity used the average domestic price subtracting 2 standard deviations from it. The high prices sensitivity analysis added two standard deviations to the average price.

Two standard deviations around the mean were considered a reasonable indicator of market fluctuations after investigating the log value data. For most species and grades, two standard deviations captured the highs and the lows of the market cycles. Figure 5 provides an example for HemBal grade H inflation adjusted price between 2005 and 2014.



Figure 5: Volume weighted inflation adjusted average price for HemBal, H-grade, 2005 – 2014

4.3.4.5 Stand Values

Final value inputs for the Pacific TSA stands were based on the species and grade values in Table 9, and the grade distributions in Table 7 and Table 8. The impact of log exports was tested by adjusting the values by export percentages (Table 10) and by adding an export premium of 30\$/m³ to each exported cubic meter for grades shown in Table 10. Each stand in the TSA was assigned a value reflecting its volume and species distribution, historic grade distribution and historic average price.

4.3.4.6 Profit or Net Stand Value before Blending

Projected harvest costs – before road building costs – per polygon (forest stand) were subtracted from stand values for each polygon. This calculation was completed for each polygon in the MWLB resulting in an estimate for an economically operable land base by polygon, before road construction costs.

4.3.4.7 Blending

Net stand value estimates of individual polygons do not reflect tactical and operational reality, because in operations the harvest of marginally economically inoperable stands is often made possible by the harvest of valuable, economically operable timber. This practise is called blending and it can occur in spatially defined units (woodsheds). BCTS faces the same cutblock blending rules as the major licensees; the cutblocks must meet the requirements outlined in the Coast Appraisal Manual (TSG, TST) and the Interior Appraisal Manual (TSK). The areas within which cut block blending is allowed are called woodsheds in this analysis. Table 11 lists all the woodsheds in the analysis. The first part of the woodshed name denotes the Block(s) it covers. Some of the larger Blocks are split into several woodsheds, while smaller Blocks may be grouped together to form a woodshed.

Woodshed	Area	MWLB Area	Woodshed	Area	MWLB Area
woodsned	(ha)	(ha)	woodshed	(ha)	(ha)
1	2,336	2,245	28A-5	925	727
10-19	2,148	1,689	28A-6	17,410	6,459
11	3,459	3,288	28A-7	174,791	20,928
12	3,085	3,039	28A-8	100,347	28,601
13	645	611	28B-1	20,697	4,691
14	1,128	1,060	28B-2	52,279	2,701
15-16-17	1,894	1,810	28C-1	1,077	944
18 - EvNa	23,140	15,618	28C-2	412	324
18 - Tsit	36,004	24,107	28C-3	4,846	2,216
2	1,017	979	28C-4	2,752	1,447
20	834	506	28C-5	6,272	3,196
21 - 1	10,739	7,351	28D	4,157	3,426
21 - 2	5,710	4,835	28E	1,135	1,010
21 - 3	5,855	4,780	28F	889	879
23	3,719	2,250	28G	530	413
24	1,015	989	28H	93	93
25	37,565	15,916	29	21,454	10,489
26	5,476	4,719	3	2,294	1,824
27 - 1	52,767	41,592	30	2,070	1,956
27 - CLAY	11,526	4,869	4, 5, 6	10,507	7,609
28A-1	1,526	1,414	7	11,400	9,393

Table 11: Woodsheds in the Pacific TSA

Woodshed	Area (ha)	MWLB Area (ha)	Woodshed	Area (ha)	MWLB Area (ha)
28A-2	2,826	1,146	8	18,351	10,197
28A-3	2,549	1,642	9 - BURM	10,644	6,038
28A-4	9,767	6,171	9 - JACK	5,979	4,131

This analysis simulated cut block blending by ranking the negative profit stands in descending order of profit/ha and then using the positive profits from the economically operable stands to cover their harvesting costs. In areas where road building or reactivation was not required, the negative profit stands were added to the economically operable land base until the positive profit was used up.

In areas where road construction was required, the road building and re-activation costs were covered first before any profits from economically operable stands were assigned to negative profit stands.

4.3.4.7.1 Land Base for Blending

Areas that were expected to be removed from the land base in the final land base netdown were not allowed to contribute to blending.

4.3.4.7.2 Conventional Harvest Areas

Existing and deactivated roads (with some exceptions in TSK) were considered economic. All positive profit stands accessed by these roads were available to support other marginally uneconomic areas within the same woodshed.

For proposed roads and those deactivated roads that were assessed, all positive profits from stands attached to each road were summed up and compared to the road building costs for that road. If the profit was adequate to cover the road building costs, the remaining profit (if any) was used to support other roads and stands within the woodshed.

If the positive profits were not adequate to cover the road building costs, the positive profit stands attached to the planned road were grouped as one blending unit. This blending unit could then receive funding from other areas within the woodshed to determine whether the road could be paid for or not. If the road could be paid for by blending, any remaining negative profit stands along the road entered the pool of stands needing to be supported by economically operable stands.

If no positive profit stands existed on the planned road, all stands accessed by that road were grouped together to form a blending unit. Profits that remained from other areas could be used to support these blending units. If the road could not be built after this support, the road was deemed uneconomic to build and all stands attached to that road were classified as uneconomic.

The negative profit stands and road blending units were all ranked by profit/ha and funded by the profitable stands within the woodshed.

4.3.4.7.3 Helicopter Harvest Areas

In helicopter harvest areas all positive profits from economically operable stands were summed up by woodshed. These stands were available to support others within the same woodshed. The negative profit stands were added to the economically operable land base until the positive profit was used up.

Helicopter to land polygons were classified as uneconomic if the road building through conventional harvest areas to access these polygons were deemed uneconomic as per section 4.3.3.7.2.

4.3.4.8 Notes

- Previously harvested stands (conventional harvest) were considered economic by default. They were not used to support any other stand in a woodshed.
- It was expected that operational review would reveal any previously harvested stands that may not be economically operable.
- Previously harvested helicopter polygons were considered economic by default only if more than 30 % of the stand consisted, or was predicted to consist, of any combinations of Yc, Cw or Fd. Otherwise the stands were classified as uneconomic.
- As discussed before in this document, reactivation of roads within previously harvested areas was assumed to be economic with some exceptions (TSK).
- There was no blending of cut blocks between conventional harvest areas and helicopter harvest areas.

5 Results

5.1 Scenarios

Two scenarios were completed; one at a $0/m^3$ export premium and the other at $30/m^3$ export premium. For both scenarios the economically operable land base was determined at low, average and high historic prices; it was also determined separately for conventional and helicopter harvest areas.

Table 12 and Table 14 show the economically operable MWLB for each scenario by harvest method.

Table 13 and Figure 6 show a break-down of the economically operable MWLB area by leading species for the \$0 export premium scenario. Figure 7 and Table 15 show the same break-down by leading species for the \$30 export premium scenario.

In all cases, 60% or more of the economically operable area is hemlock or balsam leading.

		Low Prices			Avg Prices		I	High Prices			
Block	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total		
1	781		781	985		985	1,594		1,594		
2	385		385	595		595	943		943		
3	1,660	10	1,670	1,702	10	1,712	1,702	94	1,795		
4	11		11	18		18	60		60		
5	26		26	140		140	192		192		
6	3,843	18	3,862	6,495	18	6,513	6,694	18	6,712		
7	5,466	19	5,485	8,964	19	8,983	9,141	101	9,242		
8	4,017	558	4,575	5,004	558	5,562	5,135	660	5,795		
9	2,074	243	2,317	4,065	243	4,307	4,440	1,558	5,998		
10	407		407	560		560	754		754		
11	541		541	735		735	1,426		1,426		
12	1,696		1,696	1,741		1,741	2,166		2,166		
13			0	28		28	366		366		
14	364	11	374	611	11	621	938	11	948		
15	69		69	161		161	249		249		
16	207		207	314		314	456		456		
17	283		283	764		764	971	7	978		
18	19,019	1,208	20,227	25,532	1,208	26,741	27,893	1,660	29,554		
19			0	34		34	146		146		
20	104		104	506		506	506		506		
21	5,683	1,069	6,752	9,891	1,100	10,991	10,039	2,449	12,488		
22	539	2	542	1,494	2	1,496	1,501	39	1,540		
23	974	58	1,033	1,479	58	1,538	1,526	171	1,697		
24	348		348	947		947	987	0	988		
25	3,215	617	3,831	5,391	617	6,008	7,034	617	7,651		

Table 12: Economically Operable MWLB area, \$0 export premium

	I	Low Prices			Avg Prices		High Prices			
Block	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	
26	539	39	579	1,360	39	1,400	1,912	125	2,036	
27	19,095	2,524	21,619	28,230	2,524	30,755	30,010	3,657	33,667	
28	5,747	1,158	6,904	8,741	1,158	9,899	15,816	1,416	17,232	
29	96	34	130	847	34	881	1,940	77	2,017	
30	327	0	328	1,944	0	1,944	1,949	0	1,949	
Total	77,518	7,570	85,088	119,278	7,600	126,878	138,485	12,661	151,146	

Table 13: Economically Operable MWLB Area by Leading Species, \$0 export premium

Loading Enocioc	Economically Operable Area (ha)							
Leading species	Low Price	Average Price	High Price					
Cedar	9,078	18,766	22,516					
Hemlock/ Balsam	50,671	71,757	88,694					
Douglas Fir	18,701	28,582	31,089					
Other	6,638	7,773	8,848					
Total	85,088	126,878	151,146					



Figure 6: Economically Operable Area by Leading Species, \$0 Export Premium

		Low Prices		Av	erage Prices		High Prices			
Block	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	Conventional Harvesting	Helicopter Harvesting	Total	
1	781		781	1,064		1,064	1,791		1,791	
2	385		385	649		649	966		966	
3	1,695	10	1,705	1,702	10	1,712	1,702	101	1,803	
4	11		11	47		47	60		60	
5	26		26	145		145	192		192	
6	3,904	18	3,922	6,589	18	6,607	6,694	19	6,713	
7	5,618	19	5,637	9,019	19	9,038	9,183	116	9,299	
8	4,163	558	4,721	5,093	558	5,651	5,150	694	5,844	
9	2,219	243	2,462	4,195	309	4,504	4,474	1,869	6,343	
10	407		407	721		721	754		754	
11	541		541	814		814	2,250		2,250	
12	1,696		1,696	1,764		1,764	2,638		2,638	
13			0	38		38	473		473	
14	364	11	374	683	11	694	979	11	989	
15	69		69	191		191	249		249	
16	207		207	356		356	456		456	
17	283		283	880		880	971	9	980	
18	19,466	1,208	20,674	26,852	1,208	28,061	27,963	1,804	29,766	
19			0	40		40	147		147	
20	104		104	506		506	506		506	
21	6,996	1,069	8,065	9,967	1,115	11,082	10,046	2,993	13,039	
22	1,081	2	1,083	1,495	2	1,497	1,501	57	1,558	
23	1,065	58	1,124	1,489	58	1,548	1,530	201	1,731	
24	400		400	947		947	987	0	988	
25	3,215	617	3,831	5,684	617	6,301	7,045	617	7,661	
26	539	39	579	1,473	39	1,512	1,912	130	2,041	
27	21,436	2,524	23,961	29,447	2,524	31,971	30,072	4,404	34,476	
28	5,747	1,158	6,904	11,172	1,158	12,330	17,065	1,713	18,778	
29	280	34	315	1,837	34	1,871	1,944	114	2,058	
30	420	0	421	1,947	0	1,947	1,949	0	1,949	
Total	83,120	7,570	90,689	126,804	7,682	134,486	141,648	14,851	156,499	

Table 14: Economically Operable MWLB area - \$30 export premium

Table 15: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, \$30 export premium

Loading Enosios	Economically Operable Area (ha)					
Leading Species	Low Price	Average Price	High Price			
Cedar	9,627	19,444	23,045			
Hemlock/ Balsam	52,276	78,340	92,425			
Douglas Fir	22,135	28,725	31,904			
Other	6,651	7,977	9,125			
Total	90,689	134,486	156,499			



Figure 7: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, \$30 export premium

5.2 Review of Results by Operational Staff

Spatial results for both the \$0/m³ and the \$30/m³ export premium scenarios using average historic prices were forwarded to the BCTS field staff for review. It was generally found that the \$30/m³ premium scenario was closest to operational reality; the somewhat larger economically operable land base often matched past harvest areas and recently laid-out harvest blocks better. The field teams flagged areas that were known to be economic or uneconomic. These changes were incorporated into the economic operability base case layer. No changes were made for areas that the operational staff was not familiar with.

In TSG only minor changes were made. In TST, more areas were changed to economically operable; the depletion coverage had missed some previously harvested stands and there were other stands that the analysis had classified uneconomic due to slightly overestimating the harvest costs. In TSK, additional areas were deemed uneconomic based on field review. The net result of the field team reviews was an increase in the overall economically operable land base of just under 3,000 ha.

Table 16 and Table 18 show the economically operable area after the field team reviews for the \$0 and the \$30 export premium scenarios. Table 17, Table 19, Figure 8, and Figure 9 show the economically operable area by leading species.

	Lo	w Prices		Average Prices		High Prices			
Block	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total
1	1,429		1,429	1,633		1,633	1,870		1,870
2	712		712	920		920	978		978
3	1,660	10	1,670	1,702	10	1,712	1,702	94	1,795
4	11		11	18		18	60		60
5	26		26	140		140	192		192
6	3,843	18	3,862	6,495	18	6,513	6,694	18	6,712
7	5,466	19	5,485	8,964	19	8,983	9,141	101	9,242
8	4,017	549	4,566	4,979	549	5,528	5,110	627	5,737
9	2,074	243	2,317	3,956	243	4,199	4,301	1,254	5,554
10	407		407	560		560	754		754
11	1,980		1,980	2,173		2,173	2,534		2,534
12	2,517		2,517	2,562		2,562	2,719		2,719
13	383		383	410		410	582		582
14	408	11	418	655	11	665	938	11	948
15	69		69	161		161	249		249
16	207		207	314		314	456		456
17	283		283	764		764	971	7	978
18	19,117	1,347	20,464	25,350	1,347	26,697	27,577	1,760	29,337
19			0	34		34	146		146
20	104		104	506		506	506		506
21	5,683	1,069	6,752	9,891	1,100	10,991	10,039	2,449	12,488
22	539	2	542	1,494	2	1,496	1,501	39	1,540
23	974	58	1,033	1,479	58	1,538	1,526	171	1,697
24	348		348	947		947	987	0	988
25	3,215	617	3,831	5,391	617	6,008	7,034	617	7,651
26	543	85	629	1,364	85	1,450	1,912	121	2,033
27	19,132	2,631	21,763	28,262	2,631	30,892	30,010	3,758	33,768
28	5,746	1,158	6,904	8,723	1,158	9,881	14,998	1,403	16,401
29	96	34	130	847	34	881	1,940	77	2,017
30	327	0	328	1,944	0	1,944	1,949	0	1,949
Total	81,317	7,851	89,168	122,639	7,882	130,521	139,376	12,507	151,883

Table 16: Economically Operable MWLB area after field team review, \$0 export premium

Table 17: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$0 export premium

Loading Enocios	Economically Operable Area (ha)					
Leading Species	Low Price	Low Price Average Price				
Cedar	9,188	18,768	22,284			
Hemlock/ Balsam	54,490	75,252	89,807			
Douglas Fir	18,734	28,612	30,967			
Other	6,756	7,888	8,825			
Total	89,168	130,521	151,883			



Figure 8: Economically operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$0 export premium

Table 18: Economically Operable MWLB area	a, after field team review,	\$30 export premium
---	-----------------------------	---------------------

	Low Prices		Ave	Average Prices			High Prices		
Block	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total
1	1,429		1,429	1,712		1,712	1,905		1,905
2	712		712	972		972	978		978
3	1,695	10	1,705	1,702	10	1,712	1,702	101	1,803
4	11		11	47		47	60		60
5	26		26	145		145	192		192
6	3,904	18	3,922	6,589	18	6,607	6,694	19	6,713
7	5,618	19	5,637	9,019	19	9,038	9,183	116	9,299
8	4,156	549	4,705	5,068	549	5,616	5,125	659	5,784
9	2,219	243	2,462	4,055	302	4,356	4,334	1,496	5,830
10	407		407	721		721	754		754
11	1,980		1,980	2,245		2,245	2,813		2,813
12	2,517		2,517	2,571		2,571	2,842		2,842
13	383		383	421		421	595		595
14	408	11	418	727	11	738	979	11	989
15	69		69	191		191	249		249
16	207		207	356		356	456		456
17	283		283	880		880	971	9	980
18	19,548	1,347	20,895	26,669	1,347	28,017	27,646	1,895	29,541
19			0	40		40	147		147
20	104		104	506		506	506		506
21	6,996	1,069	8,065	9,967	1,115	11,082	10,046	2,993	13,039
22	1,081	2	1,083	1,495	2	1,497	1,501	57	1,558
23	1,065	58	1,124	1,489	58	1,548	1,530	201	1,731
24	400		400	947		947	987	0	988
25	3,215	617	3,831	5,684	617	6,301	7,045	617	7,661

	Low Prices			Average Prices			High Prices		
Block	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total
26	543	85	629	1,473	85	1,558	1,912	121	2,033
27	21,473	2,631	24,104	29,478	2,631	32,109	30,072	4,504	34,576
28	5,746	1,158	6,904	10,997	1,158	12,155	16,020	1,684	17,704
29	280	34	315	1,837	34	1,871	1,944	114	2,058
30	420	0	421	1,947	0	1,947	1,949	0	1,949
Total	86,897	7,851	94,748	129,948	7,956	137,905	141,136	14,597	155,733

Table 19: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$30 export premium

Leading Species	Economically Operable Area (ha)				
	Low Price	Average Price	High Price		
Cedar	9,737	19,443	22,757		
Hemlock/ Balsam	56,074	81,639	92,179		
Douglas Fir	22,169	28,748	31,720		
Other	6,769	8,074	9,077		
Total	94,748	137,905	155,733		



Figure 9: Economically Operable MWLB area by leading species, after field team review, \$30 export premium

5.3 Synopsis and Application in TSR

5.3.1 Synopsis

The land base of the Pacific TSA of 698,041 ha was analyzed. A total of 244,132 ha were deemed to be inaccessible.

The maximum working land base was defined by removing stands that can never be candidates for harvesting, such as non-forest, private lands, parks, etc. from the land base. The total reduction was 415,757 ha; however, as this reduction overlapped with already reduced inaccessible areas, the net land base reduction was less at 171,591 ha with 282,318 ha remaining as the maximum working land base.

Costs and stand values were calculated for each forest stand; profit consists of the difference between the estimated stand value and the estimated cost to harvest the stand. Stands with a positive profit are considered economic to harvest. The economic operable land base can be expanded by harvesting profitable stands along with marginally unprofitable stands. This was simulated in the project by blending profitable stands with unprofitable stands after road costs were covered. Blending of stands can only occur within a woodshed. Woodsheds are spatially defined areas within the TSA that are tributary to the same appraised point of origin and are located within the same timber supply block. In this phase, 140,663 ha of forest were deemed inoperable and removed from the land base with 141,655 ha remaining as economically operable.

Results were reviewed by operational staff. Changes were made where appropriate. The changes resulted in a net increase of 2,891 ha bringing the economically operable land base to 144,546 ha. Not this entire land base is harvestable, as it includes areas that need to be removed as per current practises and legislation. These removals reduce the available land base for harvesting by 42,386 ha to 102,178. The remaining land base is called the THLB. A detailed description of the land base netdown is provided in the Pacific TSA Timber Supply Review Information Package, (Forest Ecosystem Solutions, 2015).

Description	Net Area (ha)	Gross Area (ha)
Total Pacific TSA	698,041	
Inaccessible Areas (from coarse filter)	(244,132)	(244,132)
Apply permanent, legally established and explicit 100% netdowns.	(171,591)	(415,757)
Maximum Working Land Base	282,318	
Economically Inoperable Area After Analysis	(140,663)	(140,663)
Area Added After Field Review	2,891	2,891
Economically Inoperable Area After Field Review	(137,773)	(137,773)
Economically Operable Land Base	144,564	
Complete THLB Netdown	(42,386)	(527,281)
Timber Harvesting Land Base	102,178	

Table 20: Simplified area netdown, Pacific TSA

5.3.2 Application in TSR

According to the BCTS operational staff, the scenario based on the \$30.00 per m³ export premium reflects their operational reality well. In the conventional harvest areas, the results from this scenario using average market prices will be used as the basis for the on-going timber supply review for the Pacific TSA and the associated THLB netdown.

Helicopter harvest areas in the Pacific TSA are generally considered marginally economic. It is assumed that harvest in most of these areas is economic only during the market cycles with high log prices. The TSR base case will analyze the helicopter harvest area separately to determine a sustainable harvest level from these areas. The economically operable land base for all helicopter areas will be based on the high historic prices and a \$30.00 per m³ export premium, with the assumption that a helicopter partition will be applied.

The total economically operable land base for use in TSR is 144,546 ha, made up of 129,948 ha of conventional harvest areas and 14,597 ha of helicopter harvest areas. As discussed above, the total economically operable land base is reduced to 102,178 ha, once a full land base netdown is applied to the TSA. The THLB of 102,178 ha consists of 92,811 ha conventional THLB and 9,367 ha of helicopter harvest THLB. The economically operable area and the THLB by Block are shown in Table 21. Table 22 summarizes the operable area and the THLB by leading species.

	Maximum Working Land Base (ha)			Timber Harvesting Land Base (ha)			
Block	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total Economically Operable	Conventional Harvest	Helicopter Harvest	Total	
1	1,712		1,712	1,275	0	1,275	
2	972		972	776	0	776	
3	1,702	101	1,803	1,102	66	1,168	
4	47		47	5	0	5	
5	145		145	62	0	62	
6	6,589	19	6,608	4,755	10	4,765	
7	9,019	116	9,135	6,663	79	6,741	
8	5,068	659	5,727	3,731	448	4,178	
9	4,055	1,496	5,551	3,070	998	4,068	
10	721		721	615	0	615	
11	2,245		2,245	1,779	0	1,779	
12	2,571		2,571	2,026	0	2,026	
13	421		421	314	0	314	
14	727	11	738	544	8	553	
15	191		191	111	0	111	
16	356		356	244	0	244	
17	880	9	889	631	0	632	
18	26,669	1,895	28,564	21,440	1,400	22,840	
19	40		40	29	0	29	
20	506		506	424	0	424	
21	9,967	2,993	12,960	7,629	1,823	9,452	
22	1,495	57	1,552	1,154	48	1,202	
23	1,489	201	1,691	1,162	163	1,325	
24	947	0	947	800	0	801	
25	5,684	617	6,301	2,450	346	2,795	
26	1,473	121	1,594	966	74	1,040	
27	29,478	4,504	33,982	18,095	2,646	20,741	
28	10,997	1,684	12,681	7,862	1,188	9,050	
29	1,837	114	1,950	1,499	69	1,568	
30	1,947	0	1,947	1,602	0	1,603	
Total	129,948	14,597	144,546	92,811	9,367	102,178	

Table 21: Economically Operable Land Base for TSR

Looding Species	MV	VLB	THLB		
Leading Species	Area (ha)	Percent	Area (ha)	Percent	
Cedar	21,396	15%	13,984	14%	
Hemlock/ Balsam	83,012	57%	62,485	61%	
Douglas Fir	31,712	22%	21,555	21%	
Other	8,425	6%	4,154	4%	
Total	144,546	100%	102,178	100%	

Table 22: Economically Operable Land Base by leading species

References

- Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, Timber Pricing Branch, January, 2014. Coast Appraisal Manual. Includes Amendments 1 (March 2014), 2 (April 2014), 3 (August 2014) 4 (March 2015) and 5 (April 2015).
- Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, Timber Pricing Branch, July, 2015. Interior Appraisal Manual. Includes Amendment 1 (November 2015).

Price Huber & Associates Inc., July 2010. Licensee Benchmark Logging Cost Report.

Price Huber & Associates Inc., March 2015. Licensee Benchmark Logging Cost Update.